

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/014,654	12/11/2001	Jeremy J. Wilson	01-129	4809
7590 06/14/2005			EXAMINER	
Michael B. McNeil Liell & McNeil Attorneys PC			MILLER, CARL STUART	
P.O. Box 2417	Attorneys I C		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomington, 1	N 47402		3747	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Y
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/014,654	WILSON ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Carl S. Miller	3747	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			·	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 i	March 2005.		
·		is action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allows		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2,5-7,9-14 and 16-20 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) 5-7,11-14 and 18-20 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-2, 9-10 and 16-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	•	by the Examiner.	
/—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• •	).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer  application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No  received in this National Stage	
Attachmer	nt(s)	_		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/014,654

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 9-10 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishiyama in view of Dietz.

Nishiyama teaches, at column 14, lines 30-40, a method of calculating the pressure drop from injection by sampling data as noted in the above listed claims. An algorithm is used to produce after injection event information via the CPU.

Dietz teaches a single pressure sample taken between injections and used to determine the timing of the next injection.

It would have been obvious to use the Dietz sampling technique to set the timing of Nishiyama for the next injection because the use of a single sample was the prior art modified by the Nishiyama device and therefore commonly known to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 5-7, 11-14 and 18-20 remain allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed March 1, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In particular, the applicant argues that Deitz would alter the Nishiyama teaching against its own teachings. As noted in the rejection however Nishiyama itself teaches that it was an improvement (via additional sampling) over known techniques. One such

known technique is the single sample taken between injections taught by Dietz.

Furthermore, Nishiyama is being used to show that it was clearly known to sample between injection events and use this information to control the next event. Finally, applicant's use of a "predetermined angle" is broad in that such an angle could be "predetermined" by virtue of it being between the injection events.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 703-308-2653. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry YUEN, can be reached at 571-272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,654 Page 4

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Carl S. Milie?
Primary Examine?